				1. CONTRACT	ID CODE	PAGE OF PAGES	
AMENDMENT OF SOLICITA	ATION/MODIE	FICATION OF CONTRACT		Y		1   4	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.	3. EFFECTIVE DATE	4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.		5. PROJECT NO.(Ifapplicable)			
0002	18-May-2007						
6. ISSUED BY CODE	W911NF	7. ADMINISTERED BY (Ifother than item 6)		COI	DE		
US ARMY RDECOM ACQ CTR - W911NF 4300 S. MIAMI BLVD DURHAM NC 27703		See Item 6					
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACT OR	State and Zip Code)	Х	9A. AMENDMI W911NF-07-R-	9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. W911NF-07-R-0010			
	X 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11 10-May-2007				11)		
				10A. MOD. OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.			
CODE FACILITY CODE				10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS							
X The above numbered solicitation is amended as set fort	h in Item 14. The hour and	date specified for receipt of Offer	x	is extended,	is not e	xtended.	
Offer must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended by one of the following methods:  (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning							
12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)							
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATIONS OF CONTRACT S'ORDERS.  IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.							
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.							
B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(B).							
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:							
D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)							
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sig	n this document and return	coj	oies to the issuing	g office.		
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.) See continuation pages.							
Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the d	ocument referenced in Item	9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchar	nged	and in full force and	effect.		
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or		OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)					
15D CONTRACTOR OFFICE	150 DATE OF	TEL:	11.0	EMAIL:		IC DATE COVER	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR	15C. DATE SIGNE		uC	1		I6C. DATE SIGNED	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		(Signature of Contracting Of	fice	r)		18-May-2007	

### SECTION SF 30 BLOCK 14 CONTINUATION PAGE

## SUMMARY OF CHANGES

The purpose of this amendment is to: (1) change the proposal due date; (2) change the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) code; and (3) modify Section L titled, "Instructions, Conditions and Notices to Bidders. Therefore, the following changes are hereby incorporated:

- The proposal due date for the above noted solicitation is changed from 04:00 pm EDT 11 June 2007 to 18 June 2007.
- The NAICS code is changed from 541710 titled, "Research and Development in the Physical, Engineering, and Life Sciences" to 541611 titled "Administrative Management and General Management Consulting Services".
- 3. Sub-Section L.14 titled, "Questions and Answers (Q&A) is added: All Government responses to questions asked by potential offerors will be addressed in this section.

Question 1: Is a teaming bid in which a large firm acts as prime contractor acceptable?

Answer 1: The Government has made a determination that this requirement will be set-aside for small business concerns. Therefore, the prime contractor shall be a small business and shall perform at least 51% of the required work.

Question 2: Will you accept a bid on one part of the RFP?

Answer 2: No. The Government intends to select one offeror for award. The Government will take no action concerning partial or incomplete proposals that fail to meet the requirements set forth in the solicitation.

Question 3: My question relates to the apparent overlap between the role of the contractor and the Technical Point of Contact (TPOC). Such an overlap could potentially lead to conflict, if the contractor is providing guidance which the TPOC may believe to be his/her unique jurisdiction. Can you clarify where the line is drawn between the TPOC's responsibilities and that of the contractor with respect to technical guidance?

Answer 3: The Contracting Officer Representative (COR), who is often referred to at the TPOC, is the Government technical expert/monitor for the project and he/she handles a litany of administrative and technical requirements between the Government and Small Business contractors participating in the SBIR program. The new support contractor will provide technical assistance to the small business in the form of expert analysis and advice. The contractor's advice to the small business is not directive or instructive to the execution of the SBIR contract, but is advisory in nature.

Question 4: We understand the Army changed the NAICS code for SBIR Administrative Program Management Support Services from 541611 - Administrative Management and General Management Consulting Services to 541710 - Research and Development in the Physical, Engineering and Life Sciences. Could you please explain to us why this change was made? It is our understanding that the required support is essentially program management. What Research & Development work is contemplated as part of this contract?

Answer 4: Based on further analysis, amendment 0002 was issued (in part) to change the NAICS designation to the original 541611 - Administrative Management and General Management Consulting Services. This is a diverse Performance Work Statement. NAICS designation of 541611 most accurately represents the solicited work. While many of the tasks include support to research and development efforts, to include provision of "technical assistance services to small business concerns engaged in SBIR projects" and the delivery of research and development plans, the primary purpose and the preponderance of the work should not be interpreted as primary engagement in conducting research and experimental development (per NAICS 541710). The defined objective in the RFP is for the offeror to "provide RDECOM support in planning, managing, coordinating, and executing the Army SBIR... programs."

Question 5: The solicitation provides an estimate of 33,317 hours for the first year of this effort. Based on a full-time billable man-year of 1,880 hours, this would mean that the government is looking for almost eighteen (18) people per year to staff the seven tasks as part of this Army SBIR effort (33,317 / 1880 = 17.721 man years). Can you confirm:

- a) The hours that are estimated for each task area, provided in the solicitation
- b) That the government is looking for vendors to bid the equivalent of 18 people for this effort.

Answer 5: The RFP includes estimated labor hours for completion of each CLIN based on a Government Independent Estimate of the effort required to complete the work. Each CLIN aligns with one of the seven (7) tasks described in the Statement of Work. The Government has not stipulated or estimated the required number of personnel to complete the work. Among the information required in the proposal are: 1) a Staffing Plan to Accomplish the SOW, and 2) Cost information supporting CLINs 0001 through 0035 to include a schedule showing total proposed hours summarized by labor skill mix per CLIN.

<u>Question 6:</u> The solicitation states that the incumbent contractor is BRTRC. Can the government provide clarification for which of the seven tasks in the solicitation that the incumbent is currently performing, and what tasks (or parts of the tasks) in the solicitation are new initiatives not currently performed by the incumbent? <u>Answer 6:</u> The incumbent is currently performing work similar to Tasks 1-3, 6, and 7. Tasks 4 and 5 represent wholly new work.

Question 7: PWS 3.1.2.1 states "Maintain and house the two (2) SQL Servers and two (2) Microsoft IIS web servers provided as Government Furnished Equipment." . . . It is understood that the servers are currently housed at the BRTRC facility and will be physically moved to the new contractor facility upon contract award. What is the current configuration of each server? Are there plans to upgrade the servers at this time?

Answer 7: There is no plan to upgrade the servers at this time. Webserver 2 and SQL Server 2 are utilized as the production environment. Webserver 1 and SQL Server 1 are not normally in operation, or are used for development purposes. The four servers are configured as stated below:

### Webserver 1

Gateway 960 Series quad 2.0GHz XEON processors, 2GB RAM Physical drives = 3 x 36 GB drives in a RAID 5 configuration Drive partitioning = C drive - 9 GB, D drive - 60 GB Operating System - Windows 2000 Server

#### Webserver 2

DELL PowerEdge 2950 Dual Intel Dual Core Xeon 3.00GHz processors, 2GB RAM Physical drives =  $3 \times 146$  GB drives in a RAID 5 configuration Drive partitioning = C drive -30 GB, D drive -240 GB Operating System - Windows Server 2003

# SQL Server 1

Gateway 960 Series quad 2.0GHz XEON processors, 1GB RAM Physical drives = 3 x 18 GB drives in a RAID 5 configuration Drive partitioning = C drive - 9 GB, D drive - 25 GB Operating System - Windows 2000 Server

## SQL Server 2

DELL PowerEdge 2950 Dual Intel Dual Core Xeon 3.00GHz processors, 2GB RAM Physical drives = 3 x 146 GB drives in a RAID 5 configuration
Drive partitioning = C drive - 30 GB, D drive - 240 GB

Operating System - Windows Server 2003

Question 8: PWS 3.1.2.1 states "Maintain internet connectivity with appropriate bandwidth to ensure efficient and secure access by Government and industry users." . . . What is the bandwidth currently available to the Army SBIR systems? Is it a dedicated circuit?

Answer 8: The current systems operate on a non-dedicated DS3 "T3 line" = 45 Mbps of throughput.

Question 9: PWS 3.1.2.1 - The PWS states "Maintain and house the two (2) SQL Servers and two (2) Microsoft IIS web servers provided as Government Furnished Equipment." . . . What are the specifications of the (4) four servers (hardware/software configuration)?

Answer 9: See Answer 7.

Question 10: PWS 3.1.2.1 - The PWS states "The contractor shall ensure the information system meets all Government requirements for a full DoD Information Technology Security Certification & Accreditation Process (DITSCAP) or Department of Defense Information Assurance Certification and Accreditation Process (DIACAP) Authority to Operate (ATO)" . . .

Does the current system meet these requirements?

Answer 10: The current system has a full DITSCAP Authority to Operate.

Question 11: PWS 3.1.2.2 - The PWS states "Establish and achieve successful appraisal of software development processes in accordance with Software Engineering Institute (SEI) Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) level 3 or equivalent." . . . Does the current system meet the CMMI Level 3 requirements? If not, what is the targeted date to establish CMMI Level 3 equivalence?

Answer 11: CMMI appraisals (or equivalent) are normally applicable to organizations and processes, not systems. The current Army SBIR Management Portal was not developed, nor is it being currently maintained utilizing CMMI processes. No plan is currently in place to establish or achieve CMMI appraisals under the current contract.

Question 12: PWS 3.1.2.5 - The PWS states "Maintain a currently established public website (www.armysbir.com) with accurate, timely, and relevant program information, in accordance with all applicable Government, Department of Defense (DoD), and Army policies and procedures." . . . Are the web sites 508 compliant? If not, is 508 compliance a goal/requirement?

Answer 12: Our current web sites are 508 compliant.

Question 13: Section H.2, Item 3 - Time and Materials Rate: Will you be amending the solicitation to include Attachment V?

Answer 13: The offeror is required to provide a breakout of their fully burdened direct labor rates per CLIN per Year per direct labor category in a schedule (Attachment V). The Government is allowing potential offerors the flexibility to effectively configure their workforce structure for successful completion of the required tasks delineated in the solicitation with minimum Government oversight.

Question 14: In Section L.8 (b) Cost Volume (6) Direct Labor Rate, you request that the Offeror shall provide the basis for developing the fully burdened T&M rate for each labor category for each year. It is our intention to provide a proposal using our GSA MOBIS rates, as the prior contract was also awarded on a GSA contract. Our GSA rates were based on Commercial Sales Practices, not cost elements, and therefore this information does not exist. In accordance with FAR 52.215-20 (a) (ii) (C), Requirements for Cost or Pricing Data or Information Other than Cost or Pricing Data (Oct 1997) Exceptions from Cost and Pricing Data "For items included on an active Federal Supply Service Multiple Award Schedule Contract, proof that an exception has been granted for the schedule item." Please confirm that this exception applies to this requirement for cost data in this solicitation.

Answer 14: If you are proposing fully burden direct labor rates from a current Federal Supply Schedule contract that has been negotiated by the General Service Administration (contracts department) then no further cost analysis or cost review will be performed, as long as the escalation factor (for the out years) is consistent with the acceptable rate for the geographical region in which your company resides.

(End of Summary of Changes)